Oxford City Council Executive Board Report for the Award of a Corporate Contract for the Servicing and Maintenance of Boilers Appendix 1: Tender Evaluation Summary Score Sheet

| | | | Tender Number | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|--|----------------|---------------|--------|----------|----------|----------|---|------|------|-----|------|------|------|-----|--------------|----------|-------------|----------|----------|---------------|
| | | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 |
| | | Max | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Section 2 | Contact Details | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 0 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Section 3 | Applicant's Business Details | 27 | 26 | 26 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 0 | 26 | 27 | 25 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 27 | 27 | 27 |
| | | | | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | | |
| Section 4 | Experience, Technical Capacity & Ability | | | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | | |
| | | 750 | 479 | 702 | 570 | 558 | 694 | 0 | 546 | 670 | 350 | 476 | 622 | 524 | 316 | 0 | 0 | 441 | 574 | 630 | 694 |
| | | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | | <u> </u> |
| Section 5 | Proposal of Costs | 600 | 382 | 507 | 311 | 580 | 494 | | 385 | 454 | 239 | 600 | 529 | 524 | 510 | | <u> </u> | 359 | 437 | 338 | 296 |
| | | | | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | | |
| Section 6 | References | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | |
| Section 7 | Financial Details | 51 | 51 | 42 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 0 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 42 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 51 |
| | | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | | <u> </u> |
| Section 8 | Criteria for the Rejection of Your Submission | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| | | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | | <u> </u> |
| Section 9 | Freedom of Information Act 2000 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| | | | | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | |
| | | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Section 10 | 0 Undertaking | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | A) Position after Tender Marking | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Price Scores | 600 | | | | 580 | | 0 | 385 | | 239 | | 529 | | 510 | | 0 | 359 | | | |
| | Quality Scores | 864 | | | | 668 | | 0 | 656 | | 457 | | 732 | | | | 0 | 549 | 1 | 740 | |
| | Total (1464 Points Available) | 1464 | 970 | 1308 | 990 | 1248 | 1298 | 0 | 1041 | 1234 | 696 | 1184 | 1261 | 1149 | 934 | 0 | 0 | 908 | 1121 | 1078 | 1098 |
| | Overall position | | 13 | 1 | 12 | 4 | 2 | | 11 | 5 | 16 | 6 | 3 | 7 | 14 | | | 15 | 8 | 10 | 9 |
| | Position based on price (40%) | | 11 | 6 | 14 | 2 | 7 | | 10 | 8 | 16 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 5 | | | 12 | 9 | 13 | 15 |
| | Position based on quality (60%) | | 12 | 3 | 8 | 9 | 1 | | 10 | 4 | 15 | 13 | 6 | 11 | 16 | | <u> </u> | 14 | 7 | 5 | 1 |
| | | | | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | |
| | <u>Weighting</u> | | | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | |
| | 60% quality (Sections 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9 & 10) | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | | <u> </u> |
| | 40% price (Section 5) | | <u> </u> | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| | | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | | <u> </u> |
| | B) Presentation Scoring - 25% of final mark - a further 488 pe | <u>le</u> | | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | |
| | Total score out of 488 points | 488 | <u> </u> | 299 | <u> </u> | 176 | 168 | | | | | | 414 | | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u></u> | <u> </u> |
| | | | <u> </u> | | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | | <u> </u> | | <u> </u> |
| | C) Grand Total - including presentation scores | 1952 | _ | | | | 1466 | | | 1234 | | + | 1675 | | | | <u> </u> | - | | | 1098 |
| | Final position | | 13 | 2 | 12 | 4 | 3 | | 11 | 5 | 16 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 14 | | — | 15 | 8 | 10 | 9 |
| | <u>Notes</u> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Tenders 6, 14 and 15 received no scores as they were duplicate | s of other ten | der su | omissi | ions. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Further to the marking of the tenders the first 4 companies were | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | $\overline{}$ |